



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/486,000 06/08/95 COOPER

J G:/7434CIP

WILLIAM S LIGHTBODY  
LIGHTBODY LAW OFFICE  
32600 FAIRMOUNT BLVD.  
ATRIUM SUITE 100  
CLEVELAND OH 44124

WM02/0329

EXAMINER

RAO, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2661

DATE MAILED:

03/29/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/486,000

Applicant(s)

COOPER ET AL.

Examiner

Seema S Rao

Art Unit

2681

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.141(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17, 19-23 and 25-65 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17, 19-23 and 25-65 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

*Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112*

1. Claim 30 recites the limitation "the decompression decoder" in 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

*Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103*

2. Claims 1-5, 10-12, 14-17, 19-20, 28-29, 31, 33-40, and 42-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tweedy et al. (U.S. 4,816,905)

The reference, Tweedy et al., discloses an access system for multiple programs, as in claims 1, 10, 28, 33, 37, 40, 43, 48, and 56, as an admitted prior art. A recording medium (storage media), as in claims 1, 10, 28, 33, 37, 40, 43, 48, and 56, is disclosed in column 2, lines 19-22. Selecting a particular program, as in claims 1, 10, 37, 40, 43, 48, and 56, is disclosed in column 2, lines 18-19. At least one of the multiple programs including at least some displayable information, as in claim 1, is anticipated by the associated video display displaying the still image corresponding to the audio information for a program. The amended limitation, **substantive displayable information distinct from a listing of the programs allowing access, storage, and/or retrievable thereof**, in all of the above said claims, is anticipated by the video clips received along with the audio and stored for later retrieval.

The reference discloses the limitations of claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 19, 37, 48, and 56, compression and decompression in the abstract. A time-compressed audio is received which anticipates the claimed subject matter. The upcoming program, an amendment to claim 33, is anticipated by any entertainment like, movie reviews", as in column 3,

Art Unit: 2661

lines 13-16. Storing the programs at the user location, as in claims **4 and 56**, is disclosed in Fig. 1 of the reference, Tweedy et al. , in Fig. 5.

A means for accessing program information, as in claims **4, 5, and 28**, is anticipated Fig. 5. A data manager, as in claims **5, 8, and 38**, reads on the access circuitry, as shown in Fig. 5. The reference discloses transmitting program identification data, accessing, and processing the program identification data, as in claim **39**, in column 2, lines 7-8. The data manager, as in claim **39**, reads on the access circuitry, as shown in Fig. 5.

The reference discloses an optical storage for storing the programs, as in claim **11**, in 4, lines 33-34. A computer memory, as in claim **12**, is disclosed in Fig. 5 ,element RAM. The reference discloses the processing of the program identification data, as in claim **27**, in column 2 lines 7-8. The reference discloses an access system having a storage capability of overwriting previously stored material, as in claims **14 and 19**, in Fig. 5, represented by RAM. The program information relative to the multiple channels of information and addition of other services, as in claims **35, 36, and 42**, are disclosed in column 2, lines 23-24.

Upcoming events, as in claim **49**, reads on any of the categories, as disclosed in column 2, lines 3-10. Controlling the selective programs, to be automatically recorded, based on the data in the data manager, as in claim **50**, reads on the buffer and audio and video storage in Fig. 5. The user do not have any control over the transmitted programs, as in claim **51**, and the programs being continuous, as in claim **52**, are inherent to the broadcast system of the reference and is disclosed in column 2, lines 3-

Art Unit: 2661

26. Different ways of personalizing the data to be recorded, as in claims **15-17, 57-62, and 64-66**, are disclosed in column 2, lines 18-23.

The recording of data over the recorded programs, as in claims **14 and 63**, anticipates the RAM in the memory of the receiver, which is used for the temporary storage of the data. The recorder simultaneously recording the selected portions of the transmitted programs as the selected portion is being selectively retrieved by the user control, as in claims **28, 43, and 53**, is disclosed in column 2, lines 18-20.

3. Claims **6-9 21-23, 25-27, 29, 31, and 43-47** are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ulrich et al. (U. S. 5,583,937)

The amended limitation, **substantive displayable information distinct from a listing of the programs allowing access, storage, and/or retrievable thereof**, in all of the above said claims, is anticipated by the video clips received along with the audio and stored for later retrieval as disclosed in column 4, lines 61-67. (claims **6 and 25**) Program identification with channel numbers is part of the promotional information. . Selecting the accessible program from the multiple programs, and means to alter the frequency of the frequency related operation, reads on the NVOD program as disclosed in the reference, Ulrich et al. Altering the frequency or the run length is anticipated by the frequency of the program repeating on various channels based on the user selection of the program. The claim language is broad and reads on the frequency change of the various channels in contrast to the concept of changing the frequency of the stored

Art Unit: 2661

program for replaying at the user terminal. A different run time as claimed in claim 21 is clearly anticipated by the different run time as broadcasted by the master terminal.

The access system having an ability to reproduce an accessible program with different run time than the intended run time, as in claims 21-23, and the interruption, as in claim 22, The reference discloses an access system with a decoder and an artifact modifier circuit, as in claim 29, in Fig. 1, element 36. The artifact modifier circuit, according to claim 31, a frequency converter, is anticipated the feature various ways of altering the run time based on the user's input.

The reference discloses an access system for multiple programs, as in claim 43 in Fig. 1. A recording medium (storage media is disclosed in Fig. 1 element 28. Selecting a particular program is disclosed in column 6, lines 23-26. At least one of the multiple programs including at least some displayable information, is anticipated by the associated promotional data comprising program previews, in column 4, lines 61-63. The amended limitation, **substantive displayable information distinct from a listing of the programs allowing access, storage, and/or retrievable thereof**, in all of the above said claims, is anticipated by the program previews before selecting the program from the promotional data.

The limitation of claims 7 and 26, delaying the programs to allow processing of the program identification data is anticipated by the run time offset feature of the reference Ulrich et al. program identification processing is anticipated by the receiver selecting a selective program from the list (claims 8 and 27). User accessing the

Art Unit: 2661

program is anticipated by the selection of the programs from the promotional data (claim 9)

Selected portion and at least one other selected portion are from the same program, as in claim 44, and from different programs, as in claim 45, are anticipated by the program preview for different channels for the same movie or for different movies. An interruption by a user for a period, as in claim 46, is anticipated by the user being unavailable for the viewing as disclosed in column 9, lines 48-57. The user is allowed to switch to a channel predetermined number of times to any channel to accommodate any missing portion or choose to stay with the same to conclude at normal time. Frequency as in claim 47 is anticipated by a different channel switching which is a frequency different from the present viewing channel frequency.

4. Claims 30 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Ulrich et al. (U.S. 5,583,937) in view of Barrett (U.S. 5,287,420).

The reference Ulrich et al., discloses all of the limitations of claim 30, but does not disclose the program data as a compressed MPEG data, a video television compression technique. The reference, Barrett, discloses a video broadcasting system compressing video in to MPEG form in column 4, lines 41-47. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the compressed signal of the reference Ryan, to be in MPEG form, as disclosed by Barrett, in order to use the system for television services and achieve better decompression.

Art Unit: 2661

The limitation of claim **39**, delaying the programs to allow processing of the program identification data is anticipated by the run time offset feature of the reference Tweedy et al.

5. Claims **13, 30, and 41** are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Tweedy et al. (U.S. 54,816,905) in view of Barrett (U.S. 5,287,420).

The reference Tweedy et al., discloses all of the limitations of claims **13, 30, 39 (compressed video), and 41**, but does not disclose the program data as a compressed MPEG data, a video television compression technique. The reference, Barrett, discloses a video broadcasting system compressing video in to MPEG form in column 4, lines 41-47. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the compressed signal of the reference Ryan, to be in MPEG form, as disclosed by Barrett, in order to use the system for television services and achieve better decompression.

#### **Remarks**

Applicant's arguments filed January 5, 2001, have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new grounds of rejection. Examiner reviewed the amendment to claims and the remarks made in the amendment as well as the interview content. Examiner agrees completely with the arguments made by the Applicants in both amendment and the interview summary. A careful review of the applicant's remarks resulted in a new search in the related art of schedule broadcasting of an upcoming



Art Unit: 2661

events in a TV broadcasting system. Both references are directed to TV broadcasting system. Tweedy reference teaches a broadcasting system of video and corresponding audio for the selection of the program by the user. The admitted prior art of the reference is cited for the most part of the rejection for the reason it is very relevant to show multiple programs are broadcasted without user's request. However the reference to the figures are made for the related part of the receiver drawn to the same idea of the prior art but for a selective program as requested by the user. The other reference, Ulrich teaches the promotional data comprising program preview along with program and order information and upcoming events broadcasted prior to the selection of the program. Additionally Ulrich teaches a runtime offset compensation by modifying the frequency of the channel. The concept broadly anticipates the frequency modifier as claimed without specific details of the receiver frequency converting elements.

Therefore Examiner concluded both references are relevant in light of the claim language and applied the same and all the pending claims are rejected on the same. Applicants are requested to further review the references and the rejection.

**6. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**(703) 305-3988, **Or:** (703) 308-6743

(for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Application Number: 08/486,000

Page 9

Art Unit: 2661

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive,  
Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be  
directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3900**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the  
examiner should be directed to Seema S. Rao whose telephone number is  
(703) 308-5463.

Seema S. Rao  
03/26/01

Seema S. Rao  
Primary Examiner  
AU 2661